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ABSTRACT: Its general consciences amongst the Orthopaedicians, that Implant removal is a challenging one. Newer generation is not aware of implants used in the past & if there is any patient where its removal is indicated it becomes a challenge. Unavailability of necessary & proper instruments as extractor or hook makes the task difficult. Surgeon has to rely on his mechanical skill, modification in procedure, and way of thinking in tackling the situation in present scenario with whatever things are there on trolley & in the armamentarium. We are presenting a case of intramedullary tibial implant – two V nails, put about 8 years ago having pain & sinus in upper third of leg. It was difficult to predict radiologically the nature of the intramedullary implant & hence, difficulties during its removal. It is mandatory to discuss all the possible options with the patient and relatives prior to surgery. It is also necessary to explain at times, we might not be able to remove the implant and procedure have to be abandoned. The patient usually considers the removal of implant to be easier than the initial surgery and is usually unaware of the difficulties that can be encountered during removal and the fact that it may need a major procedure followed by a prolonged recovery time.
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INTRODUCTION: Removal of intramedullary nail is considered a routine procedure but may prove to be challenging. Bone ingrowth or overgrowth, damage to the proximal threads of the nail, cutting of the proximal part of nail including extracting eye, and broken nails or locking screws may complicate the removal of intramedullary nails.

It is important to assess the main reason for nail removal and the extent to which the surgeon and the patient are willing to go to do so. Infection, nonunion, migration of the implant, unremitting pain, deformity or re-fracture requiring fixation are indications for nail removal. It is difficult to assess radiologically the nature and number of implants to be removed as we have experienced in present case. Multiple techniques including universal extraction sets, guide wires with hooks, and multiple guide wires have been described for removal of implant.1-6

We present a case of couple of impacted V nails, one of which is proximally bend in transverse fashion (which we realized on exploration) with discharging sinus and a salvage procedure for nail extraction after failure of routine methods.

CASE REPORT: A 55-year-old male presented with chronic pain in right leg with discharging sinus in proximal third below tibial tuberosity. Intramedullary V nails were put 8 years ago for Tibial fracture. Patient had associated Bi-malleolar fracture which was fixed with K-wire and screw.
The patient was pain free for 7 years and subsequently started complaining of leg pain with discharging sinus for which he did not pay much attention to, however, 6 months prior to evaluation the patient had increasing pain with limiting the activities of daily living. The patient reported at our institution where routine radiographs revealed intramedullary implant with K wire and screw in malleoli. Patient blood profile revealed Anemia (Hb 9 gm. %), raised ESR- 30 mm at the end of first hour, leukocytosis (TLC- 12900).

On physical examination, the patient had a well-healed surgical scar in the right leg in the region of the proximal tibia. There was a sinus below the tibial tuberosity with serous discharge. No rise in local temperature. The fracture was well healed clinically. The right knee was stable in varus and valgus with full range of motion. The ipsilateral ankle had fair range of motion. Neurovascular examination was normal. Overall alignment of the extremity was anatomic.

Preoperative radiographs showed a healed tibia and fibula fractures, an intramedullary implant, which was bend proximally with implants in malleoli.

The possible association of leg pain and retained hardware were discussed with the patient. The surgical risks were discussed extensively with the patient, as well as other risks, including continued pain after hardware removal and failure to remove the implant. Informed consent was taken. Surgery was performed under regional anesthesia. Malleolar implants – K wire and screw was removed without any difficulty.

Cortical window was made at the site of sinus between medial and posterior column of the tibia after confirmation of the proximal end of implant under C-arm IITV. There was no pus or granulation tissue at the site of sinus. We found the proximal portion of the implant- V nail in the metaphyseal area of the tibia. Outer V nail was bent acutely and transversely on the medial side.

Proximal part of the nails including eye was cut by previous surgeon while insertion. Attempts to extract the nail through the window were futile. Nails were bent in U shape and hook of extractor was engaged in it.

Fig. 1: Preoperative X-ray with implants in situ
Still it was not possible to remove either of the nail. It was then decided to do a tibial osteotomy to remove the impacted nails. Accordingly, a linear and vertical osteotomy of the tibia was done distally inch by inch during attempted extraction without damaging the three Tibial columns. The shaft of Tibia was opened between the columns like a book and we could remove both V nails simultaneously successfully. The osteotomized tibia was kept in place and periosteum was sutured.

The patient was discharged after suture removal about 15 days with Above-knee cast. Follow-up of 1 month each, for first 3 months and then at the end of 6 months revealed uneventful recovery. Patient can walk with full weight bearing and healed sinus.
DISCUSSION: Removal of orthopedic hardware is mostly easier said than done. Bony overgrowth, worn out screw threads and heads, stuck nails, broken implants and ingress of bone into all possible crevices of the implants. Absence of the eye makes extraction difficult and exasperating for the surgeon. Of these, intramedullary nail removal is considered a routine procedure but may prove to be challenging. Contrary to old belief that implants with infection are loose & easy to remove, it may not be the situation in all cases.

Incarcerated intramedullary nails have been described since Kuntscher’s time. Various reasons were listed for incarceration including ingrowth of bone into the inside of a clover leaf nail, bent nails, excessive callus formation closing the medullary canal, and bone ingrowth through the locking screw holes.

The material of the nail or the bone-metal-interface has not been mentioned as a factor in previous reports. We recommend that to extract an impacted double nail, an early decision should be made to do a vertical osteotomy without disturbing the three columns of the tibia to free the implant which heals with minimal morbidity.

Moral is reiteration of the dictum that removal of implant is not to be taken lightly where one might have to give up the procedure. It should be explained to the patient and relatives with informed consent is mandatory. All the same, one should not hesitate to do a vertical osteotomy and extract the intramedullary implants. Vertical osteotomy heals easily than the transverse one without any morbidity. There is hardly any difficulty in healing of osteotomy, weight bearing capacity and biomechanics following such procedure.
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